

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/599,976	Applicant(s) SCHELLER ET AL.		
	Examiner MARCELA M. CORDERO GARCIA	Art Unit 1654		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>after non-final rejection</u>			
(1) <u>MARCELA M. CORDERO GARCIA.</u>	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>LEANNE M. RAKERS.</u>	(4) _____.			
Date of Interview: <u>05 May 2011</u>	Time: <u>3 pm</u>			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Rejections of record</i> Claims discussed: <i>37, 46, 52</i> Prior art documents discussed: <i>N/A</i> Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i> Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/MARCELA M CORDERO GARCIA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654 <div style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</div>				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative on 5/5/2011 to propose amendments that would place the claim in condition for allowance. Further discussions occurred on 5/6/2011 with regards to the methods claims. As discussed on 5/9/2011 the amendment includes cancelling claims 37-39 and 50-51, which were not previously examined and are drawn to a different scope and restricted subject matter (see restriction requirement dated 11/6/2009). Furthermore, on 5/11/2011 Applicant agreed to amending the specification to provide a brief description of the drawings and claim 55 to provide antecedent basis. The resulting amendment is herein attached.